## MARINE RECREATIONAL INFORMATION PROGRAM

## Oregon Ocean Recreational Boat Survey Point & Variance Estimation

**FY 2011 Final Project Report** 

Maggie Sommer Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife Marine Resources Program

April 25, 2014

#### **OVERVIEW**

#### **Background**

The Oregon Ocean Recreational Boat Survey (ORBS) conducted by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) provides estimates of angler effort and catch in the boat-based ocean sport fishery out of Oregon ports. Data collected via angler interviews and accesspoint effort counts are expanded to develop total effort and catch estimates. In 2010, NOAA Fisheries' Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) supported a review of the ORBS survey and estimation methodologies, led by consulting experts in statistical survey design. One of the outcomes of this review was a recommendation to develop an appropriate weighting scheme so that estimation more closely matches how the data is collected and which would allow for the construction of design-based variance estimation procedures. Jean Opsomer (MRIP consultant) and Bryan Wright (ODFW biometrician) undertook initial work on developing weighting and variance estimation schemes. They used the statistical software R, and a small subset of existing ORBS data. Results of this "test" effort were promising, suggesting that the approach was suitable.

#### **Project Description**

This project was a review of the statistical method for computation of variance estimates for the Oregon ORBS, and development of computer code to accomplish the estimation. The work was done primarily by a contractor, Leigh Ann Starcevich, under the guidance of Virginia Lesser (MRIP consultant), with substantial additional work, consultation and review by ODFW staff members Bryan Wright and Eric Schindler (ORBS Project Leader).

#### **Objectives**

The objectives of this project were to continue development of methodology and R code for variance estimation that will be suitable for use with the entire ORBS dataset. Work was to include quality control code development and testing. The ultimate goal was to enable the construction of confidence intervals around the ORBS estimates, resulting in improved estimates and understanding of their precision, for consideration by fishery managers, scientists, and other consumers of ORBS estimate data.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Leigh Ann Starcevich led the effort to develop weighting and variance estimation procedures and programming, after a preliminary stage of familiarization with the ORBS design, dataset, and prior related work.

Existing data from year-round sampling in ports on the entire Oregon coast were used for weighting and variance estimation methodology development and testing. The project work occurred in Corvallis and Newport, Oregon, in 2012-2013.

#### **RESULTS**

The contractor provided a report (attached) documenting her analysis of some of the ORBS survey design elements and the estimation procedures, and R code for design-based variance estimation. Recommendations were made for consideration of modifications to the ORBS survey design with the goal of increasing the precision and accuracy of Oregon ocean recreational fishing parameter estimation.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Although the intended scope of this project was to develop methodology and R code for variance estimation, the consultant dedicated considerable effort toward analysis of the details of ORBS survey design, and the recommendation of changes in the conduct of ORBS. While a valid consideration in the overall evaluation of the effort and catch estimates produced by ORBS, the survey design itself has been the subject of other thorough reviews by both ODFW staff and external statistical experts.

ODFW received a first draft report and R code near the end of the project period, with little time remaining for work by the consultant. As a result, there was no opportunity for significant changes to the report and the R code in response to comments and requests made by ODFW upon review of the draft deliverables. Substantial revision and testing of the R code by ODFW staff is currently ongoing. Unaddressed issues were incorporated into the report as recommendations for future work. Several additional recommendations are tangential to the key focus area of this project. The recommendations found in the report are copied here, with responses from ODFW:

- 1. Use randomization of days within Strata. If weekend days are of more interest, stratify by Weekday Group, census the weekend stratum, and use true randomization to select days within the Workweek stratum for unbiased inference.
  - ODFW comments: (1) Weekend days and weekdays are of equal interest. In some ports/seasons, more fishing effort occurs on weekend days; therefore, more sampling effort is allocated in order to maintain a relatively constant sample rate. (2) True randomization is not possible due to logistical constraints on the field sampling operations; for example, scheduling in smaller ports where only one sampler is stationed.
- 2. Allocate sampling effort to all strata, including all Weeks for all Ports within the weeks not defined as Winter ORBS.
  - ODFW comments: The expense of expanding the sampling program to allocate sampling effort as recommended here is prohibitive. Fishing effort in unsampled ports/times is estimated and expanded for using established procedures. The MRIP program recently supported a year of supplemental ORBS sampling in order to obtain current effort rates from traditionally unsampled ports/times. Results will be used to update the estimate calculations to ensure that expansion factors are based on current effort and catch data.

3. Track the interview shift times more closely for potential stratification of time blocks within a day.

ODFW comments: This would create new strata for which no sample data are available and which would require additional steps in the estimation procedures. ODFW believes that this would increase the variance issues and add unnecessary complexity and uncertainty to the estimates.

- 4. Record days that interviewers encounter no boats to obtain accurate information on survey effort and cost. Consider randomizing interview time blocks within a day.
  - ODFW comments: ODFW currently has information on days when no boats were encountered, although it is not simple to access due to the way it is recorded and stored. Randomizing interview time blocks within a day would be subject to similar logistical challenges as those described in Recommendation 2, and might result in a lower sampling rate by directing effort away from the core midday period during which most ocean recreational fishing trips return to port.
- 5. Assess impact of night returns for halibut and tuna by assessing video counts. Eric Schindler thought this could be initially examined at the ports in Newport and Charleston by comparing actual video counts of late returns and late and early departures to expanded counts.
  - ODFW comments: This is feasible in most ports/times, and would require dedication of some staff time. There may be difficulties in some ports such as Charleston due to a longer view distance between the camera and the vessels.
- 6. Combine inference for strata with lonely PSUs. The proper treatment of this issue requires explicit coding in R. The R *survey* package apparently cannot handle more than one lonely-PSU approach, and domain-level estimates cannot be combined by stratum. If this is too difficult, evaluate the effect of using the "adjust" option for strata with  $D_h = 1$ .
  - *ODFW comments: Agreed; further study of this issue needed.*
- 7. Ratio estimation of population totals may improve estimation for private boat trips if exit count data are more precise and accurate than design-based estimates of boat trips from the Horvitz-Thompson estimator.
  - ODFW comments: OFDW is currently coding this recommendation. ODFW staff had noted the omission of ratio estimation of totals as one of the key areas needing further work upon review of the draft code/report. It was not implemented in the final code delivered by the consultant and has been one of the primary areas of follow-up development by ODFW.
- 8. Eric Schindler expressed a need to change the way trip types are recorded so that species-level estimation may be obtained. Currently, angler effort cannot be distinguished below the gross trip type strata and there is no means to assess target species groups when anglers target more than one species group on a trip. Eric Schindler proposed that interviewers collect information on the species anglers are fishing for and then the software would automatically assign the trip type. This would provide further detail by which to assess actual fishing effort for species groups while preserving the existing trip type effort estimation.

ODFW comments: This would be valuable. It would require significant effort in the form of development/modification of code in both the mobile field data collection units (currently Trimble Nomads) used by ORBS samplers, and the ORBS database.

Private boat exit counts are currently treated as known but are actually imputed and adjusted. Incorporate these adjustments into the estimation process and account for the additional uncertainty.

ODFW comments: Very few actual adjustments are made, with the exception of effort data from Astoria-area ports (in order to account for boats that left port but did not enter the ocean and fished only in the Columbia River estuary, vs. ocean trips), and for days when fog significantly impaired the normal effort counts. These adjustments require case-specific decisions by a subject matter expert (ORBS Project Leader) and cannot be automated with acceptable outcomes. Some of the processes referred to as "adjustments" by the consultant are error-checks and result only in the correction of errors when found, rather than any across-the-board modifications to effort data.

#### CONCLUSIONS

This project resulted in progress toward the creation of variance estimates. ODFW will build upon this progress with further development and testing of the R code, and comparison of the existing estimation design with the design proposed by the consultant. ODFW will evaluate the merits of the proposed design and implement any changes determined to result in improved results. Information on any changes, along with point and variance estimates when available, will be provided to the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission's Recreational Fishery Information Network (RecFIN).

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Appendix A: L.A.H. Starcevich, Consultant's Report: Design-based Analysis for the Oregon Recreational Boat Survey: Weighting Approach and Analysis Methods, Corvallis, OR, December, 2013.

#### REFERENCES

This project was based on recommendations found in:

F.J. Breidt and J.D. Opsomer, Consultant's Report: Preliminary Review of Oregon Ocean Recreational Boat Survey, Colorado State University, July 27, 2010.

# Design-based Analysis for the Oregon Recreational Boat Survey

Weighting Approach and Analysis Methods

Leigh Ann Harrod Starcevich

Statistical consultant PO Box 1032 Corvallis, OR 97339 lah@peak.org

December 2013

Oregon Sampling Project Marine Resources Program Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
SAMPLING DESIGN	1
MRIP REVIEW	2
Table 1: Adjustments to effort and interview counts and adjustment responsibility	5
WEIGHTING AND DESIGN-BASED ESTIMATION	6
Notation	
INCLUSION PROBABILITIES	
STRATUM-LEVEL INFERENCE	
ESTIMATION OF THE POPULATION TOTAL FOR BOAT TYPES	
ESTIMATION WHEN A SINGLE PSU OR SSU IS SAMPLED	11
Table 2: Summary of PSU population sizes and sample sizes	12
ANALYSIS WITH R STATISTICAL SOFTWARE	13
DISCUSSION	13
RECOMMENDATIONS	14
CONCLUSIONS	15
REFERENCES	16
APPENDIX A: R CODE FOR 2011 ORBS ANALYSIS	17

i

#### INTRODUCTION

The Oregon Recreational Boat Survey (ORBS) is an ocean sampling project employed by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) to estimate annual recreational ocean fishing effort and catch. ORBS incorporates bar crossing counts with angler surveys to obtain estimates of angler effort and success. In 2010, the Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) funded a review of ORBS by statistical experts. This review resulted in several recommendations on how to improve data collection and estimation, specifically variance estimation so that the precision of estimates may be assessed. This report addresses many of those recommendations and provides weighting and estimation approaches for improved inference from ORBS data.

#### SAMPLING DESIGN

The ORBS sampling design does not employ classic randomization and is described as "representative and opportunistic" (ORBS 2012). Therefore, obtaining the inclusion probabilities for design-based estimation is not straightforward. Previous ORBS analysis treats this design as a stratified one-stage sampling design with boats pooled across days. Because effort is allocated independently within a day, the proposed analysis is treated as a stratified two-stage random sample. Strata include the Port, Boat Type (charter or private), and Week. A partial-week stratification, known as Expansion Type (ET), is employed if there is a significant change within a week regarding which species are open. For example if the ocean is only open for coho salmon (a key target species) on Thursday through Saturday within a week, then that week would be partitioned into a Monday through Wednesday plus Sunday stratum and a stratum including Thursday through Saturday. Only a single ET split is allowed within any given week. Domains of interest include the Area of catch, Species of catch, and Trip Type (bottomfish, combination – salmon *plus* another target species, dive – spear fishing only, halibut, non-fishing, salmon, or tuna) for each boat trip.

Within each stratum, a two-stage sampling design is assumed in this analysis. Individual days serve as primary sampling units (PSUs), and individual boat trips within a day define the secondary sampling units (SSUs). In the first-stage sample, the selection of days within each week is not strictly random. Days are prioritized relative to angler effort, so interview effort occurs more frequently and with higher intensity on weekend days than during the week.

In the second-stage sample, ORBS interviewers encounter returning boats with systematic random sampling. Sampling differs between the two boat types. Information on charter boat trips is obtained each morning prior to the interviewer shift. Interview effort is allocated among all charter trip types throughout the week or ET stratum so that each trip type is represented for estimation. A defined frame does not exist for private boats, so the interviewers do not know in advance how many boats they will encounter.

Interviewers use what is referred to as "the next boat protocol" to select private boats to be interviewed. Simply stated, the next boat observed to enter the area where an interviewer is working at the time they are ready to interview another boat is selected to be sampled. Because effort at the second stage is allocated between the two boat types prior to the survey, boat type is treated as a second-stage stratification variable. While the length of interview shifts is known, the sample size of SSUs (boat trips) is unknown. Because the second-stage sample size is unknown prior to the survey and PSU population sizes within each stratum vary, the ratio estimator is used to estimate mean anglers and catch per boat trip. Exit count data are used to expand to estimates of the total anglers and total catch for the population of private boat trips.

Previous data analysis treats the sampling design as a one-stage sample of boats within a stratum and ignores the allocation of effort among days within a stratum. The one-stage approach produces the same inclusion probability for all boats within a stratum which is similar to an average of the two-stage inclusion probabilities. However, accounting for the proportion of boat trips interviewed within a given day provides more accurate estimation within each day. Then the accuracy of higher levels of estimation is improved when day-level estimates are extrapolated to days for which interviews were not conducted. For example, boat trips were censused during 485 of the stratum-days. The number of boat trips ranged from 1 to 23 for these stratum-days, with 5 or fewer boat trips occurring within 95% of these stratum days. The majority of the censused stratumdays occurred between May and September. The one-stage approach would apply an inclusion probability to these boat trips that would reflect an average across all days in the stratum, resulting in overestimation of metrics for these particular days. For estimation across strata, the impact of the one-stage design would likely be small. For lower-level estimation within finely-defined domains, the distinction may be considerable. Estimates from both approaches are provided in an associated spreadsheet, ORBS\_2011\_Estimates\_ALL\_20131210.xlsx.

#### **MRIP REVIEW**

Previous recommendations were made in the MRIP review by statisticians Jay Breidt and Jean Opsomer (2010). The approach used to address each recommendation, when feasible, is explained in more detail below. The work described in this report is focused on recommendations 3 and 4.

1. Recommendation: Avoid reporting at lower levels if possible.

Approach: In a 8/9/12 in Newport meeting with ORBS personnel, reporting at coarser levels was discussed. ORBS personnel remarked that species-level quotas require close monitoring of catch over the season. When salmon or halibut quotas are met, the season is closed immediately. Therefore, catch estimates must be both timely and accurate. For other species, such as bottomfish and rockfish, monthly reporting may be sufficient. However, both Washington and California state reporting is conducted at the weekly level so there may be issues of across-state consistency if reporting is only done at

coarser levels of time. Estimates will be available by multiple levels and the appropriate levels may be provided to specific audiences.

2. *Recommendation*: Reallocate effort over time so that all trips have non-zero probability of inclusion in the survey.

Approach: In 2011, sampling was also conducted in strata omitted in previous surveys. These strata included winter weeks in ports previously excluded from the frame of possible trips. The current report focuses on inference only in weeks not defined as "Winter ORBS." However, the information from the 2011 sample could be used to validate assumptions of inference to unsampled weeks in other years.

3. *Recommendation*: Additional weighting to account for randomization of survey time blocks within each day.

Approach: In the 8/9/12 Newport meeting, the practical implications of following this recommendation were discussed. All interviewer shifts occur between 8 AM and 8 PM and approximately overlap the interval occurring between 11 AM and 3 PM. Several interviewers may be used at a single port on a given day and might overlap. Interviewer shifts vary by time of year (available daylight), weather conditions (shifts may be shorter in bad weather), and interviewer duties (video boat counts may also be conducted during a shift). The time of interview is recorded upon data entry, but some interviewers record data by hand and enter later so the time of interview field is not a reliable metric for measuring sampling effort within a day. However, this issue has been resolved for more recent surveys.

These sources of variation make tracking sampling effort within a day very difficult. Therefore, adjustments due to sampling within a day cannot be accurately made. Estimation will assume that the boats observed within a day are a random sample of boats. Eric Schindler expressed some concern that boats returning at night from halibut and tuna fishing trips may not be sampled at an appropriate rate, which would result in underestimation of angler effort and success for those Species and Trip Types and overestimation of other trip types. If accurate information on the distribution of effort throughout the day can be obtained, then this information may contribute to more accurate estimates of effort within time blocks.

4. *Recommendation*: Use the appropriate design-based variance estimators.

*Approach*: Appropriate variance estimators are based on the assumption of random samples of boats within each port/week/boat type/expansion type stratum combination. Subsequent sections of this report will detail how to obtain design-based variance estimates for estimates of means and totals.

5. *Recommendation*: Use auxiliary data for more efficient estimation.

Approach: Possible sources of auxiliary information include fog and wind metrics for effort and time of day for catch by species. Fog usually does not last all day and its impact varies by Port. Fog may cause video count inaccuracy at Charleston where the bay is wider. Boats are less likely to cross the bars at Garibaldi, Gold Beach, and Winchester Bay when fog is present due to the less-predictable nature of those bars. Wind can also impact fishing effort. Northwesterly wind occurring in early afternoon causes boat returns. These data are not currently implemented in the estimation approach and will require further data collection and modeling to determine if these covariates will improve precision. Incorporating covariates in the estimation process is beyond the scope of this report.

#### 6. Recommendation: Automate the subject-matter information when possible.

Approach: Automation of the subject-matter information in the analysis process will require coordination with Eric Schindler. Review of Schindler et al. (2012) indicated that most of the calculations presented in this document are handled by the ORBS project leader or assistant project leader. A list of adjustments detailed in the ORBS and CTSP 2012 Procedures Manual is presented in Table 1. All but two of the adjustments are calculated by the project leader prior to the analysis stage, and boat counters are instructed to not make these corrections so that the actual counts can be reviewed by project staff. Many of these adjustments represent estimation for which variance components are lost because the estimate is reported with raw counts. This will result in underestimation of variance. Currently, the raw data needed to account for the adjustments and their variance components is unavailable for past data sets. However, it is recommended that the raw data be preserved for future data sets so that the adjustment process can be automated and archived and the associated variance can contribute to accurate estimates of variance for assessing precision at higher scales.

Table 1: Adjustments to effort and interview counts and adjustment responsibility

Parameter	Adjustment	Adjusted by
Effort	Private effort adjustments for  1. Late departure (0 – 2% for video counts, ~ 10% for visual counts)  2. Early-returning boats are classified as non-fishing trips and deducted from the exit count  3. Imputed exit counts for unsampled days within a week based on similar season (ET) and day type (weekday/weekend) (Imputed count of "0" if weather/bar conditions are known to prevent effort)	Eric Schindler
Effort	Three-stage Columbia River adjustments for boats that did not enter the ocean or that departed outside the count period	Eric Schindler
Effort	Adjustment for partial day due to fog	Eric Schindler
Interview parameters (Catch, Anglers)	Expansions to: 1. Port-level expansion to portion of the year when sampling occurred and unsampled days within unsampled weeks 2. Expansion for late fishing trips and unsampled minor ports 3. Expansion to portion of the year when no sampling occurred	RecFIN
Interview parameters (Catch, Anglers)	RecFIN expansion to unsampled ports and time periods (not of immediate concern to ODFW and are outside the purview this task)	RecFIN

#### WEIGHTING AND DESIGN-BASED ESTIMATION

The procedure used to calculate inclusion weights for design-based inference on ORBS parameters is outlined. Issues relevant to estimation are considered, and a set of estimates are examined to compare approaches.

#### Notation

Let h index one of H strata; let i index the day in the h<sup>th</sup> stratum, where  $i = 1,...,D_h$ ; and let j index one of  $N_{hi}$  boat trips within stratum h and day i. For notation simplicity, the first-stage and second-stage strata are referenced with the same index. Define the following terms:

 $Z_{hi}$  = indicator of first-stage sample inclusion;

 $D_h$  = the total number of days within the  $h^{th}$  stratum;

 $d_h$  = the number of days sampled within the  $h^{th}$  stratum;

 $N_{hi}$  = total effort (exiting boats) for the  $h^{th}$  stratum and  $i^{th}$  day ( $i = 1,...,d_h$ );

 $n_{hi}$  = total boats interviewed for the  $h^{th}$  stratum and  $i^{th}$  day;

 $Z_{hi(i)}$  = indicator of second-stage sample inclusion given inclusion in the first-stage sample;

 $Z_{hii}$  = unconditional indicator of second-stage sample inclusion;

 $W_{hii}$  = the inclusion probability of the  $j^{th}$  boat trip  $(j = 1,...,n_{hi})$  in the  $h^{th}$  stratum and  $i^{th}$  day;

 $m_{hii}$  = number of anglers for the  $j^{th}$  boat trip in the  $h^{th}$  stratum and  $i^{th}$  day; and

 $y_{hijk}$  = catch of species k for the  $j^{th}$  boat trip in the  $h^{th}$  stratum, and  $i^{th}$  day.

## Inclusion probabilities

Weights are calculated within levels of the combination of stratification variables and reflect a two-stage sample within each stratum level. Let:

$$\pi_{hij} = P(Z_{hij} = 1) = P(Z_{hi} = 1)P(Z_{hj(i)} = 1|Z_{hi} = 1) = \pi_{hi}\pi_{hj(i)}$$

where

$$\pi_{hi} = P(\text{day } i \text{ in first-stage sample}) = \frac{d_h}{D_h}$$

and

 $\pi_{hj(i)} = P \Big( \text{boat trip } j \text{ in second-stage sample } \Big| \text{day } i \text{ in first-stage sample for stratum } h \Big) = \frac{n_{hi}}{N_{hi}}.$ 

Let  $W_{hij} = \frac{1}{\pi_{hij}}$  be the inclusion weight of the  $j^{th}$  boat trip of the  $i^{th}$  day in the  $h^{th}$  stratum.

Therefore the inclusion weight for the  $j^{th}$  boat trip of the  $i^{th}$  day in the  $h^{th}$  stratum is computed as:

$$w_{hij} = \frac{D_h N_{hi}}{d_h n_{hi}}.$$

Note that exit counts adjustments made by Eric Schindler require that we treat  $N_{hi}$  as known. Future work might include an exit count adjustment incorporating an accompanying component of variance.

#### Stratum-level inference

The design-based estimator of the stratum-level total is given by:

$$\hat{t}_h = \sum_{i=1}^{d_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{hi}} w_{hij} y_{hij}$$
.

The variance of  $\hat{t}_h$  is obtained from a Taylor-series approximation (Lohr 1999):

$$Var(\hat{t}_h) \doteq \left(1 - \frac{d_h}{D_h}\right) \frac{s_h^2}{d_h} + \frac{1}{d_h D_h} \sum_{i=1}^{d_h} \left(1 - \frac{n_{hi}}{N_{hi}}\right) N_{hi}^2 \frac{s_{hi}^2}{n_{hi}},$$

where 
$$s_h^2 = \frac{1}{d_h - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{d_h} N_{hi} \left( \overline{y}_{hi} - \hat{\overline{y}}_h \right)^2$$
 and  $s_{hi}^2 = \frac{1}{n_{hi} - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{d_h} N_{hi} \left( y_{hij} - \overline{y}_{hi} \right)^2$ . The

estimate of the population total and its variance are obtained by summing the stratumlevel estimates and variance estimates, respectively.

Because the PSUs vary in size within a stratum, a ratio estimator is used to estimate means of outcomes of interest. The ratio estimator of the stratum-level mean is given by:

$$\hat{\overline{y}}_h = \frac{\hat{t}_h}{\hat{N}_h} ,$$

where  $\hat{N}_h = \sum_{i=1}^{d_h} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} w_{hij}$  is the design-estimated number of boat trips within the  $h^{th}$  stratum. The variance of  $\hat{y}_h$  is given by:

$$Var\left(\hat{\overline{y}}_{h}\right) \doteq \frac{1}{\overline{N}_{h}^{2}} Var\left(\hat{\tau}_{h}\right),$$

where 
$$\bar{N}_h = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d_h} N_{hi}}{d_h}$$
.

When the days within a week are censused  $(d_h = D_h)$ , the variance simplifies to the following:

$$Var\left(\hat{\bar{y}}_{h}\right) = \frac{1}{\left(\bar{N}_{h}D_{h}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{D_{h}} \left(1 - \frac{n_{hi}}{N_{hi}}\right) N_{hi}^{2} \frac{s_{hi}^{2}}{n_{hi}} = \frac{1}{N_{h}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{D_{h}} \left(1 - \frac{n_{hi}}{N_{hi}}\right) N_{hi}^{2} \frac{s_{hi}^{2}}{n_{hi}},$$

where  $N_h = \sum_{i=1}^{d_h} N_{hi}$ . Note that this variance is analogous to the variance of a stratified random sample with the censused PSUs serving as strata.

#### Domain Estimation with the Ratio Estimator

Inference on effort and catch for domains are also of interest. Domains of interest include trip type, catch area, and species of catch. Let l index one of L levels of a domain of interest. Define the following terms:

 $N_{hil}$  = total effort (boat trips) for the  $h^{th}$  stratum,  $i^{th}$  day, and  $l^{th}$  domain;

 $n_{hil}$  = total boats interviewed for the  $h^{th}$  stratum,  $i^{th}$  day, and  $l^{th}$  domain;

 $w_{hij}$  = the inclusion weight of the  $j^{th}$  boat trip in the  $h^{th}$  stratum and  $i^{th}$  day;

 $m_{hijl}$  = number of anglers for the  $j^{th}$  boat trip in the  $h^{th}$  stratum,  $i^{th}$  day, and  $l^{th}$  domain; and

 $y_{hijl}$  = catch or number of anglers for the  $j^{th}$  boat trip in the  $h^{th}$  stratum,  $i^{th}$  day, and  $l^{th}$  domain.

8

Note that domains are defined at the boat-trip level, so domain inclusion is assessed only for the second-stage sample. The sample size within each domain in stratum h is a random variable, so the ratio estimator is used. The ratio estimator of the mean outcome

for a domain l within stratum  $h(\hat{y}_{hl})$  is defined for complex survey design as (Lohr, 1999):

$$\hat{ar{y}}_{hl} = rac{\hat{t}_{hl}}{\hat{N}_{hl}} = rac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{d_h} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} w_{hij} X_{hijl} y_{hij}}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{d_h} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} w_{hij} X_{hijl}},$$

where  $\hat{t}_{hl}$  is the estimated total within domain l for the outcome of interest,  $y_{hij}$ ;  $\hat{N}_{hl}$  is the estimated total number of boat trips in the  $h^{th}$  stratum and  $l^{th}$  domain; and  $X_{hijl}$  indicates inclusion in the  $l^{th}$  domain for the  $j^{th}$  boat trip in the  $i^{th}$  day.

The ratio estimator is biased, but the bias is small when the sample size within domains is adequate, the variation in the number of boats surveyed within a week is small, and the number of anglers is positively correlated with the number of boats (Lohr 1999). The estimator of the asymptotic variance of the ratio estimator of the mean  $(\hat{y}_{hl})$  for a domain l within stratum h is obtained with a Taylor series approximation (Lohr, 1999). Define the linearized outcome of interest as  $q_{hij} = \frac{1}{t_x} (y_{hij} - \hat{y}_h x_{hij})$ . Then the variance of the estimate of the total of the linearized variable approximates the MSE of the ratio estimator as follows:

$$\hat{Var}(\hat{\bar{y}}_{hl}) \doteq \frac{1}{\hat{N}_{hl}^{2}} \sum_{i}^{d_{h}} \sum_{i'}^{d_{h}} \sum_{j'}^{n_{hil}} \sum_{j'}^{n_{hil}} \tilde{\Delta}_{ii'jj'} \frac{y_{hij} - \hat{\bar{y}}_{hl} x_{hij}}{\pi_{hii}} \frac{y_{hi'j'} - \hat{\bar{y}}_{hl} x_{hi'j'}}{\pi_{hi'j'}},$$

where  $\hat{N}_{hl}$  is the design-based estimator of total boat trips occurring in stratum h and domain l and  $\bar{\Delta}_{ii'jj'}$  is the design-expanded covariance of  $q_{hij}$  and  $q_{hi'j'}$  (Särndal, Swensson, and Wretman 1992) defined as:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{ii'jj'} = \begin{cases}
1 - \frac{d_h n_{hi}}{D_h N_{hi}}, & i = i' \text{ and } j = j' \\
\frac{\left(\frac{d_h n_{hi} (n_{hi} - 1)}{D_h N_{hi} (N_{hi} - 1)} - \left(\frac{d_h n_{hi}}{D_h N_{hi}}\right)^2\right)}{\frac{d_h n_{hi} (n_{hi} - 1)}{D_h N_{hi} (N_{hi} - 1)}}, & i = i' \text{ and } j \neq j' \\
\frac{\left(\frac{d_h (d_h - 1) n_{hi} n_{hi'}}{D_h (D_h - 1) N_{hi} N_{hi'}} - \frac{d_h^2 n_{hi} n_{hi'}}{D_h^2 N_{hi} N_{hi'}}\right)}{\frac{d_h (d_h - 1) n_{hi} n_{hi'}}{D_h (D_h - 1) N_{hi} N_{hi'}}}, & i \neq i'
\end{cases}$$

These approaches are applied in the R *survey* package (Lumley 2012) which will be used for data analysis with the ORBS survey data. Note that the general indexing of both first-and second-stage strata is still used here.

#### Estimation of the population total for Boat Types

ORBS obtains exit count data from charter boat companies specific to each Port, Week, Expansion Type, and Trip Type. This additional information on Charter boat types may be used to obtain more accurate estimates of angler and catch totals for this domain and aggregates of these domain levels. This information is not available for Private boat trips, so estimation at these levels is handled differently. For levels of estimation in which the domain population size within each stratum,  $N_{hl}$ , is known, a ratio estimator of the population total (Särndal et al. 1992, p. 391; Lumley 2010) is used.

For Charter boat types, the domain mean within each stratum h is obtained with ratio estimation as follows:

$$\hat{ar{y}}_{hl} = rac{\hat{t}_{hl}}{\hat{N}_{hl}} = rac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{d_h} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} w_{hij} X_{hijl} y_{hij}}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{d_h} \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n_{hi}} w_{hij} X_{hijl}},$$

where  $X_{hijl}$  is an indicator of inclusion in domain l. The true domain population size is then used to obtain a more accurate estimate of the domain total,  $\tilde{t}_l$ , and its variance where:

$$\tilde{t}_{hl} = N_{hl} \hat{\bar{y}}_{hl} = \frac{N_{hl} \hat{t}_{hl}}{\hat{N}_{hl}},$$

$$\hat{Var}(\tilde{t}_{hl}) = N_{hl}^2 \hat{Var}(\hat{y}_{hl}),$$

and  $\hat{Var}(\hat{\bar{y}}_{hl})$  is obtained as the variance of a ratio estimator. Estimates of population totals across strata are obtained by obtaining ratio estimates of the mean at the appropriate levels then multiplying by the population size at the appropriate levels.

Because the population size is not known for Private boat trips, estimates of population totals for private boats are obtained as a standard design-based estimate of the total, as follows:

$$\hat{t}_{hl} = \hat{N}_{hl} \hat{y}_{hl} = \sum_{i=1}^{d_h} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} w_{hij} X_{hijl} y_{hij}.$$

### Estimation when a single PSU or SSU is sampled

When only a single PSU is surveyed within a stratum, the variance cannot be estimated directly. There are several cases in which this might occur for ORBS. First, a stratum may only consist of a single day, referred to as a "certainty PSU," that is censused at the first stage, with the only variance contribution arising from the second-stage sampling. Second, a sampled stratum may only include a single PSU when several days were available. Finally, a stratum might be surveyed more than one day but one of the boat types may have only been interviewed on one of those days, effectively reducing the sample size of PSUs to 1 for that stratum and boat type.

The *survey* package in R provides several approached to resolving the "lonely PSU" problem. The "certainty" option treats the stratum as censused and computes the variance of the estimate as 0. The remaining data contain only strata with at least two days, including boats trips for strata sampled only on a single day. The "adjust" option is used for strata with only one interview day in the sample, and the variance component is conservatively obtained by calculating variance relative to the sample grand mean (Lumley 2012).

A summary of PSU population sizes and sample sizes (Table 2) indicates 19 strata containing certainty PSUs and 62 strata (in bold) with more than one population PSU but

only a single sampled PSU. The optimal approach is separate inference for lonely PSUs  $(D_h = 1 \text{ and } d_h = 1)$  and strata with more than one PSU but only a sample of one day  $(D_h > 1 \text{ and } d_h = 1)$ . Combining inference for these two cases has proved problematic when domain estimation is of interest. The "adjust" option for dealing with a single sampled PSU calculates the variance relative to the estimate of the grand mean rather than the stratum-level mean, and the grand mean cannot be calculated across strata when estimation is split across strata. The R *survey* package cannot currently accommodate this scenario by allowing specification of different options by strata. Therefore, the optimal approach must be explicitly programmed in R, which is beyond the scope of the current task list. The recommended approach is to use the "adjust" option for the entire data set. The implication is that the 19 strata containing only a single PSU will contribute a non-zero component to the variance of the domain estimate, resulting in a conservative approach. An examination of the impact of this approach revealed little effect on inference and confidence intervals for several levels of estimation. However, future inference may benefit from a more careful treatment of lonely PSUs.

Table 2: Summary of PSU population sizes and sample sizes

	$\mathbf{D_h}$						
$\mathbf{d_h}$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	19	3	13	14	4	7	21
2	0	21	16	21	5	3	21
3	0	0	40	12	6	1	25
4	0	0	0	24	5	2	31
5	0	0	0	0	4	0	22
6	0	0	0	0	0	7	18
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	36

#### **ANALYSIS WITH R STATISTICAL SOFTWARE**

Design-based analysis based on the stratified two-stage sampling design is conducted with R software (2013) and the *survey* package (Lumley 2012). The *survey* package allows specification of the sampling design and inclusion probabilities, including multiple stages of sampling, stratification at each stage, and domain estimation for specified variables. Estimation employs the ratio estimator, so random sample sizes for domain estimates are treated appropriately.

R code for the analysis of the 2011 ORBS data is provided in Appendix A, and most of the code prepares the data for analysis. Formatting code is followed by analysis code for several levels of analysis, including subsets of data, the case of adjusting Charter Boat Type estimates for known population size, and an analysis that matches a table previously provided by Bryan Wright. Several tables provided by Eric Schindler are required for accurately defining the sampling frame (excluding Winter ORBS and identifying the sampling frame of dates by stratum) and obtaining inclusion probabilities for design-based analysis. All files necessary to conduct the 2011 analysis are provided in a ZIP file, *ORBS\_2011\_AnalysisFiles.zip*. Similar background files will be needed to format data from other years.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Weekends provide more angler opportunity than weekdays, and certain days of the week might be dedicated to other tasks at some ports. For these reasons, consideration is given to calculating design weights within weekday groups defined as weekend days and workweek days. Stratification by Weekday Group was outlined by this author in a 9/26/13 memo titled "ORBS Weighting Approach – Examining Weekday Groups." Concurrent work by ODFW personnel did not find indications of bias from inference that did not take into account Weekday Groups, but this topic will be given further consideration.

Ratio estimator of the population total may be used to obtain estimates of catch for charter boat trips because the total number of boat trips is known. The ratio estimator of the total may result in a more accurate and precise estimate of the total if the estimated number of boat trips is biased or estimated imprecisely (Särndal et al. 1992, p. 391; Lumley 2010). Estimation for private boat trips may also be improved by ratio estimation if exit counts are more accurate than estimates of boat trips obtained from Horvitz-Thompson estimation. An example of combining inference for Horvitz-Thompson estimates of total catch for private boat trips and ratio estimator total catch estimates is provided in Appendix A.

Estimates obtained from the R code provided in Appendix A are available in an accompanying spreadsheet, *ORBS\_2011\_Estimates\_ALL\_20131210.xlsx*. This

spreadsheet also contains the original ORBS estimates of total fish caught and released (by Port, Week, Expansion Type, Boat Type, Trip Type, Area, and Species) obtained assuming a stratified one-stage cluster sample. The estimates of total catch from the analysis reflecting the two-stage stratified design are generally larger than for analysis assuming the one-stage stratified design. Cases when the one-stage estimates greatly exceeded the two-stage estimates occurred for estimates of total Black Rockfish and Dungeness Crab catch in Ports 10, 24, 34, and 42 during Weeks 25 through 38.

In a few cases, either one-stage or two-stage estimates were not available for comparison. Many of these cases occurred in Port 38 where fishing activity was recorded as occurring in either Area 5 or Area 6, and apparently these Area designations did not match between the two sets of estimates. In a few other cases, two-stage estimates were not available for domains that did have one-stage ORBS estimates, likely due to the effect of pooling across days in the one-stage analysis.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

This author offers the following recommendations, while acknowledging that some will require considerable effort and may be impractical given interviewer responsibilities and sampling effort limitations:

- 1. Use randomization of days within Strata. If weekend days are of more interest, stratify by Weekday Group, census the weekend stratum, and use true randomization to select days within the Workweek stratum for unbiased inference.
- 2. Allocate sampling effort to all strata, including all Weeks for all Ports within the weeks not defined as Winter ORBS.
- 3. Track the interview shift times more closely for potential stratification of time blocks within a day.
- 4. Record days that interviewers encounter no boats to obtain accurate information on survey effort and cost. Consider randomizing interview time blocks within a day.
- 5. Assess impact of night returns for halibut and tuna by assessing video counts. Eric Schindler thought this could be initially examined at the ports in Newport and Charleston by comparing actual video counts of late returns and late and early departures to expanded counts.
- 6. Combine inference for strata with lonely PSUs. The proper treatment of this issue requires explicit coding in R. The R *survey* package apparently cannot handle more than one lonely-PSU approach, and domain-level estimates cannot be combined by stratum. If this is too difficult, evaluate the effect of using the "adjust" option for strata with  $D_h = 1$ .
- 7. Ratio estimation of population totals may improve estimation for private boat trips if exit count data are more precise and accurate than design-based estimates of boat trips from the Horvitz-Thompson estimator.

- 8. Eric Schindler expressed a need to change the way trip types are recorded so that species-level estimation may be obtained. Currently, angler effort cannot be distinguished below the gross trip type strata and there is no means to assess target species groups when anglers target more more than one species group on a trip. Eric Schindler proposed that interviewers collect information on the species anglers are fishing for and then the software would automatically assign the trip type. This would provide further detail by which to assess actual fishing effort for species groups while preserving the existing trip type effort estimation.
- 9. Private boat exit counts are currently treated as known but are actually imputed and adjusted. Incorporate these adjustments into the estimation process and account for the additional uncertainty.

#### CONCLUSIONS

ORBS demonstrates an admirable commitment to obtaining accurate and precise estimates of ocean fishing effort and success. Improvements in the analysis of ORBS data include the calculation of design-based variance estimates and consideration of issues related to differences in effort and catch between weekdays and weekends. Considerations of improvements such as additional randomization and stratification in the survey design may lead to increased precision and accuracy in estimates of Oregon ocean fishing parameters.

#### REFERENCES

Breidt, J. and J. Opsomer (2010). Preliminary Review of Ocean Recreational Boat Survey. Consultants' report, July 27, 2010.

Lohr, S.L. (1999). *Sampling: Design and Analysis*. California: Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.

Lumley, T. (2010). *Complex Surveys: A Guide to Analysis Using R.* New Jersey: Wiley and Sons, Inc.

Lumley, T. (2012) "survey: analysis of complex survey samples". R package version 3.28-2.

ORBS and CTSP 2012 Procedures Manual (2012). Oregon Sampling Project, Marine Resources Program, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

R Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <a href="http://www.R-project.org/">http://www.R-project.org/</a>.

Särndal, C-E, B. Swensson, and J. Wretman (1992). *Model Assisted Survey Sampling*. New York: Springer.

Schindler, E., M. Freeman, and B. Wright (2012). Sampling Design of the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's Ocean Recreational Boat Survey (ORBS). ODFW Marine Resources Program report, March 14, 2012.

#### APPENDIX A: R CODE FOR 2011 ORBS ANALYSIS

```
setwd("C:\\Consulting\\ORBS\\")
setWindowTitle("ORBS analysis")
memory.limit(4000)
# CLEAR WORKSPACE
#rm(list = ls(all=TRUE))
# LOAD PACKAGES
library(survey)
library(reshape)
#-----
# IMPORT FRAME INFORMATION
#-----
# Get ExpType by Date and Port for unsurveyed dates
# ORBS data only contains dates for days in which interviews
# and exit counts were conducted
# Table provided by Eric Schindler
ET_2011_orig<-read.csv("ET 2011 - ES corrections.csv", header=TRUE)
names(ET 2011 orig)
dim(ET_2011_orig)
# Create Port field
ET_2011_1<-melt(ET_2011_orig, id.vars="Date", measure.vars=
     names(ET_2011_orig)[2:13])
ET 2011 1$Date<- as.POSIXct(as.character(ET 2011 1$Date),
format="%m/%d/%Y")
ET_2011_1$WeekDay<-factor(weekdays(ET_2011_1$Date), levels=c("Monday",
      "Tuesday", "Wednesday", "Thursday", "Friday", "Saturday", "Sunday"))
dim(ET 2011 1)
                            # [1] 4380 5
head(ET 2011 1)
# Format Port field
ET_2011_1$Port<- gsub("X", "", as.character(ET_2011_1$variable))</pre>
ET_2011_1$Stratum.PSU.Ind<-1 # 1 for each day within stratum
names(ET_2011_1)[3]<-"ExpansionType"</pre>
# Get Week field
# Table provided by Eric Schindler
WeekDefn<-read.csv("WeekDefn.csv", header=TRUE)</pre>
names(WeekDefn) # "Date" "Year" "Month" "Day"
WeekDefn$DateOrig<-WeekDefn$Date</pre>
WeekDefn$Date<- as.POSIXct(as.character(WeekDefn$DateOrig),</pre>
     format="%m/%d/%Y")
                           # format Date field
ET 2011 2<-merge(ET 2011 1, WeekDefn) # ET_2011_2 = frame of PSU's by date
dim(ET 2011 2)
head(ET 2011 2)
# Calc Dh
ET_2011_frame<-aggregate(ET_2011_2$Stratum.PSU.Ind,
list(ET_2011_2$Port, ET_2011_2$Week, ET_2011_2$ExpansionType), sum)
```

```
names(ET_2011_frame)<-c("Port", "Week", "ExpansionType", "Dh")</pre>
ET_2011_frame <- ET_2011_frame [order(ET_2011_frame [,1], ET_2011_frame
[,2], ET_2011_frame [,3], ET_2011_frame [,4]),]
nrow(ET_2011_frame) # Number of strata
# Merge frame info with info on which weeks correspond to
# ORBS estimation and omits Winter ORBS
# Table provided by Eric Schindler
WinterORBS_orig<-read.csv("Week - Month 2009 and 2011.csv",
      header=TRUE)
names(WinterORBS_orig)
WinterORBS_1<-melt(WinterORBS_orig, id.vars=c("Year", "Week"),</pre>
      measure.vars= names(WinterORBS_orig)[3:14])
WinterORBS_1$Port<- gsub("X", "", as.character(WinterORBS_1$variable))</pre>
WinterORBS_1<-WinterORBS_1[,-3]</pre>
                                   # Remove old Port field
names(WinterORBS 1)[3]<-"Status"</pre>
names(WinterORBS_1)
                       # "Year" "Week" "Status" "Port"
table(WinterORBS_1$Status)
# exclude Winter ORBS and unsampled weeks
ORBSWeeks<- WinterORBS_1[WinterORBS_1$Status=="Week",]
ORBSWeeks_2011<- ORBSWeeks [ORBSWeeks $Year==2011,2:4]
dim(ORBSWeeks_2011)
                      # 318
# merge to exclude weeks not in ORBS
ET_2011_frame_ORBS<-merge(ET_2011_frame, ORBSWeeks_2011)</pre>
                            # 449 5
dim(ET 2011 frame ORBS)
dim(ET_2011_frame)
                              # 783 4
ET_2011_frame_ORBS $StratumCode<-1:449
                                          # Label strata
ET_2011_frame_ORBS<- ET_2011_frame_ORBS[order(ET_2011_frame_ORBS$Port,
ET_2011_frame_ORBS$Week, ET_2011_frame_ORBS$ExpansionType),]
tapply(ET_2011_frame_ORBS $Dh, ET_2011_frame_ORBS $ExpansionType,
range)
# IMPORT EFFORT/EXIT COUNT FILE (=DESIGN DATA)
# IMPORT AND REVIEW DATA
# Charter and private boat trips by Week, Port, Date, Expansion Type
eff_2011<-read.csv("tbEffOcean_2011.csv")</pre>
head(eff 2011)
str(eff 2011)
summary(eff_2011)
# Examine sample coverage
with(eff_2011, table(Week, Port)) # days by week and port - all 0 or 7
# Csum charters
eff_2011$Charter<-rowSums(eff_2011[,5:11]) # total Charter trips
# Create datetime variable
eff 2011$Date<-as.POSIXct(as.character(eff 2011$Date), format="%m/%d/%Y
      %H:%M:%S" )
```

```
# Identify day of the week
eff_2011$WeekDay<-factor(weekdays(eff_2011$Date), levels=c("Monday",
      "Tuesday", "Wednesday", "Thursday", "Friday", "Saturday",
      "Sunday"))
# Data cleaning
# E.S. suggested changing "D" to "W" for Port 40 and Week 40
eff_2011$ ExpansionType [(eff_2011$Port==40)&( eff_2011$Week==40)&(
eff 2011$ExpansionType=="D")]<-"W"</pre>
dim(eff_2011)
                                    # 2492
eff_2011_ORBS1<-merge(eff_2011, ET_2011_frame_ORBS, by=c("Port",
"Week", "ExpansionType"))
dim(eff_2011_ORBS1)
                                    # 2205 17
# CREATE BOATTYPE AND TRIPTYPE FIELDS FOR EFFORT
eff_2011_ORBS<-melt(eff_2011_ORBS1, id.vars=c("Port", "Week",
      "ExpansionType", "Date"), measure.vars=c("ChrSal", "ChrBot",
      "ChrCmb", "ChrTun", "ChrHal", "ChrDiv", "ChrNon", "Private"))
eff 2011 ORBS$BoatType<-
ifelse(eff_2011_ORBS$variable=="Private","P","C")
head(eff_2011_ORBS)
dim(eff_2011_ORBS)
                             # 17640 7
eff_2011_ORBS$TripType<-NA
eff_2011_ORBS$TripType[eff_2011_ORBS$variable=="ChrSal"]<-"S"
eff 2011 ORBS$TripType[eff 2011 ORBS$variable=="ChrBot"]<-"B"
eff_2011_ORBS$TripType[eff_2011_ORBS$variable=="ChrCmb"]<-"C"
eff_2011_ORBS$TripType[eff_2011_ORBS$variable=="ChrTun"]<-"T"
eff_2011_ORBS$TripType[eff_2011_ORBS$variable=="ChrHal"]<-"H"
eff_2011_ORBS$TripType[eff_2011_ORBS$variable=="ChrDiv"]<-"D"
eff_2011_ORBS$TripType[eff_2011_ORBS$variable=="ChrNon"]<-"N"
names(eff 2011 ORBS)[ names(eff 2011 ORBS)=="value"]<-"ExitCount"</pre>
eff_2011_ORBS<- eff_2011_ORBS[,-5] # Remove "variable"
head(eff_2011_ORBS)
# Aggregate across dates by strata - use for calculating incl prob
eff 2011 Strata<-aggregate (eff 2011 ORBS$ExitCount,
      list(Port=eff_2011_ORBS$Port, Week=eff_2011_ORBS$Week,
      ExpansionType =eff 2011 ORBS$ExpansionType, BoatType =
      eff 2011 ORBS$BoatType), sum)
names(eff_2011_Strata)[ names(eff_2011_Strata)=="x"]<-"Nhi"
dim(eff_2011_Strata)
                             # 890 5
```

```
#-----
# IMPORT INTERVIEW FILE (=OBSERVAION UNIT OR ELEMENT)
#-----
# Import and review data
intrv 2011<-read.csv("tbIntrv 2011.csv")</pre>
dim(intrv 2011)
head(intrv_2011)
str(intrv_2011)
summary(intrv_2011)
# Data cleaning - las
intrv_2011$TripType<-toupper(intrv_2011$TripType)
intrv_2011$Fishery <-toupper(intrv_2011$Fishery)</pre>
                                                       # all caps
# all caps
# Ocean interviews only
intrv_2011<- intrv_2011[intrv_2011$Fishery=="0",]</pre>
dim(intrv_2011)
                             # 16883 18
# Create datetime variables
intrv_2011$Date <-as.character(intrv_2011$Date)</pre>
intrv_2011$Date<-as.POSIXct(intrv_2011$Date, format="%m/%d/%Y
     %H:%M:%S")
dim(intrv_2011)
intrv_2011<-merge(intrv_2011, WeekDefn[,c(1,5)])</pre>
dim(intrv_2011)
# Identify day of the week
intrv_2011$WeekDay<-factor(weekdays(intrv_2011$Date),
      levels=c("Monday", "Tuesday", "Wednesday", "Thursday", "Friday",
      "Saturday", "Sunday"))
# Data cleaning
intrv_2011<- intrv_2011[,c(1:9,11,12,15:20)] # Select needed fields
head(intrv_2011)
dim(intrv 2011)
                     # 16883 17
# remove Winter ORBS
intrv_2011_ORBS<- merge(intrv_2011, ET_2011_2)
dim(intrv_2011_ORBS) # 16883
# Merge to remove winter ORBS
intrv_2011_ORBS<- merge(intrv_2011_ORBS, ET_2011_frame_ORBS)
dim(intrv_2011_ORBS) # 16089
                                27 All ORBS
# Create unique ID for BoatTrip
intrv_2011_ORBS $BoatTrip<-paste(paste(intrv_2011_ORBS $BoatNumber,</pre>
      intrv_2011_ORBS $IntvNum, sep="_"), intrv_2011_ORBS$SID, sep="_")
```

```
#-----
# CALCULATE INCLUSION PROBS
#-----
# Get nhi - number of interviews by Stratum, BoatType, Date
intrv.PSU<-aggregate(intrv 2011 ORBS$Stratum.PSU.Ind, list(</pre>
Port =intrv 2011 ORBS$Port,
Week =intrv 2011 ORBS$Week,
ExpansionType =intrv_2011_ORBS$ExpansionType,
BoatType =intrv_2011_ORBS$BoatType,
Date =intrv_2011_ORBS$Date), sum)
names(intrv.PSU)[ names(intrv.PSU) == "x"] <- "nhi"</pre>
head(intrv.PSU)
intrv.PSU$Port<-as.character(intrv.PSU$Port)</pre>
# Get dh -get unique Dates within a stratum, then count PSU sample
intrv.Stratum.PSU<-aggregate(intrv.PSU $nhi, list(</pre>
Port = intrv.PSU $Port,
Week = intrv.PSU $Week,
ExpansionType= intrv.PSU $ExpansionType,
Date = intrv.PSU $Date), length)
# sum the number of unique days per stratum (dh)
intrv.Stratum <-aggregate(intrv.Stratum.PSU $Date, list(</pre>
Port = intrv.Stratum.PSU $Port,
Week = intrv.Stratum.PSU $Week,
ExpansionType= intrv.Stratum.PSU $ExpansionType), length)
# name dh variable and sort
names(intrv.Stratum)[ names(intrv.Stratum)=="x"]<-"dh"</pre>
intrv.Stratum <- intrv.Stratum[order(intrv.Stratum$Port,</pre>
intrv.Stratum$Week, intrv.Stratum$ExpansionType),]
head(intrv.Stratum)
intrv.Stratum$Port<-as.character(intrv.Stratum$Port)</pre>
# Merge frame and sample info to get inclusion probs
# Stage 1 inclusion probability calculations
ORBS Stage1<-merge(ET 2011 frame ORBS, intrv.Stratum, by=c("Port",
"Week", "ExpansionType"), all=TRUE)
dim(ORBS_Stage1) # 449
ORBS_noStage1<-ORBS_Stage1[is.na(ORBS_Stage1$dh),]
ORBS_Stage1$dh[is.na(ORBS_Stage1$dh)]<-0
dim(ET_2011_frame_ORBS) # 449
                             6
ORBS Stage1<-ORBS Stage1[!is.na(ORBS Stage1$dh),]
dim(ORBS_Stage1[ORBS_Stage1$dh==0,])
dim(ORBS_Stage1)
                      # 449
dim(ET_2011_frame_ORBS) # 449 6
dim(intrv.Stratum) # 402
                              4 Lose 47 strata
range(ORBS_Stage1$dh) # 0 7
sum(ORBS_Stage1 $Dh[ORBS_Stage1 $dh==0])
# number of unsampled Stratum-Days (PSUs) = 203
# Calc stage 1 inclusion probability
ORBS Stage1$Stage1Prob<- ORBS Stage1$dh/ ORBS Stage1$Dh
range(ORBS_Stage1$Stage1Prob)
# 0 1
```

```
# Stage 2 inclusion probability calculations
Eff_2011<-aggregate(eff_2011_ORBS$ ExitCount, list(Port= eff_2011_ORBS$
Port, Week= eff_2011_ORBS$ Week, ExpansionType= eff_2011_ORBS$
ExpansionType, BoatType= eff_2011_ORBS$ BoatType, Date= eff_2011_ORBS$
Date), sum)
names(Eff 2011)[ names(Eff 2011) == "x"] <- "Nhi"
ORBS_Stage2 <-merge(Eff_2011, intrv.PSU)</pre>
dim(Eff 2011)
                            # 4410
dim(ORBS_Stage2 ) # 2047
dim(merge(Eff_2011, ORBSWeeks[ORBSWeeks$Year==2011,])) # 4410
dim(Eff_2011[Eff_2011$Nhi>0,])
#[1] 2649
         6 # Have exit count data for days with no interviews
# Correct missing boats such that nhi>Boats
# Eric Schindler agreed that this is appropriate
ORBS_Stage2$Nhi[ORBS_Stage2$nhi>ORBS_Stage2$Nhi] <-
ORBS_Stage2$nhi[ORBS_Stage2$nhi > ORBS_Stage2$Nhi]
ORBS_Stage2$Stage2Prob<- ORBS_Stage2$nhi / ORBS_Stage2$ Nhi
range(ORBS_Stage2$Stage2Prob) # 0.009615385 1.000000000
# Merge Stage 1 and Stage 2 info to compute InclProb
ORBS_InclProbs<-merge(ORBS_Stage1, ORBS_Stage2, by=c("Port", "Week",
"ExpansionType"))
dim(ORBS_InclProbs)
ORBS InclProbs$InclProb<- ORBS InclProbs $ Stage1Prob * ORBS InclProbs $
Stage2Prob
range(ORBS_InclProbs$InclProb) # 0.00952381 1.000000000
# MERGE DATA AND INCLUSION PROBS
#-----
ORBS_2011<-merge(intrv_2011_ORBS, ORBS_InclProbs, by=c("Port", "Week",
"ExpansionType", "BoatType", "Date", "Status", "StratumCode", "Dh"))
dim(ORBS_2011)
                            #[1] 16086
                                         34
ORBS_2011$Stratum<-interaction(ORBS_2011$Port, ORBS_2011$Week,
     ORBS_2011$ExpansionType, ORBS_2011$BoatType, drop=TRUE)
```

```
#-----
# IMPORT ENCOUNTER (CATCH) FILE (=RESPONSE DATA) AND SPECIES CODE NAMES
#-----
# Import and review data
enc<-read.csv("tbEnc 2011.csv")
head(enc)
summary(enc)
str(enc)
# Create datetime variable
enc$Date<-as.POSIXct(as.character(enc$Date), format="m/d/Y"
    %H:%M:%S")
# Import and review data
tbSpp<-read.csv("tbSpeciesCodes_2011.csv")</pre>
head(tbSpp)
summary(tbSpp)
str(tbSpp)
names(tbSpp)[1]<-"Species"</pre>
#-----
# MERGE FISH NUMBERS WITH FISH NAMES
#-----
enc2<-merge(enc, tbSpp)</pre>
head(enc2)
str(enc2)
summary(enc2)
#-----
# MERGE FISH DATA WITH ALL OTHER DATA
#-----
ORBS_2011<-merge(ORBS_2011, enc2, by=c("Date", "Port", "IntvNum",
                      # 33687
dim(ORBS_2011)
                               42
# data cleaning
ORBS 2011$Released[is.na(ORBS 2011$Released)]<-0
# Remove species = PIT-tagged Black RF
ORBS_2011 <- ORBS_2011[ORBS_2011$Species!=1,]
names(ORBS_2011)
                      # 32140
dim(ORBS_2011)
                               42
# sort
ORBS_2011<- ORBS_2011[order(ORBS_2011$Port, ORBS_2011$Week,
ORBS_2011$ExpansionType),]
# create PSU stratum ID
ORBS_2011$PSU.Stratum<-interaction(ORBS_2011$Port, ORBS_2011$Week,
    ORBS_2011$ExpansionType, drop=TRUE)
ORBS_2011$Stratum.Day<-paste(ORBS_2011$PSU.Stratum, ORBS_2011$Date,
sep=" ")
ORBS 2011$Count<-1
```

```
#-----
# DESIGN-BASED ESTIMATION - requires the survey package
#-----
library(survey)
# Specify sampling design for analysis in survey package
ORBS_2011_2stage_design <-svydesign(id=~ Stratum.Day + BoatTrip,
probs=~InclProb, strata= ~ PSU.Stratum + BoatType, nest=TRUE, data=
ORBS_2011, variables = ~ Port + Week + Area+ Anglers + BoatType +
TripType + ExpansionType + Species + Catch +Released +Count, fpc = ~
Dh + Nhi)
# Set options to adjust lonely PSUs
options(survey.adjust.domain.lonely=TRUE)
options(survey.lonely.psu= "adjust") # use grand mean for variance
# Obtain total estimates to match format in ORBS table
# tbEstCatchRel.xlsx
Est_TotalCatch_ORBS <- svyby(~Catch, by= ~ Week + Port + Area +</pre>
BoatType + TripType + ExpansionType + Species,
design = ORBS_2011_2stage_design,
FUN = svytotal,
verbose=TRUE)
rownames(Est TotalCatch ORBS)<-NULL
names(Est TotalCatch ORBS )[8:9]<-c("EstCatch", "SE.EstCatch")</pre>
Est_TotalReleased_ORBS <- svyby(~Released, by= ~ Week + Port + Area +
BoatType + TripType + ExpansionType + Species,
design = ORBS_2011_2stage_design,
FUN = svytotal,
verbose=TRUE)
rownames(Est TotalReleased ORBS)<-NULL</pre>
names(Est_TotalReleased_ORBS)[8:9]<-c("EstReleased", "SE.EstReleased")</pre>
# Format Estimates to match ORBS table, tbEstCatchRel.xlsx
SampledCatch <-with(ORBS_2011, aggregate(Catch, list(Week=Week,
     Port=Port, Area=Area, BoatType=BoatType, TripType=TripType,
     ExpansionType=ExpansionType, Species=Species), sum))
names(SampledCatch)[8]<-"SampledCatch"</pre>
SampledReleased <-with(ORBS_2011, aggregate(Released, list(Week=Week,
     Port=Port, Area=Area, BoatType=BoatType, TripType=TripType,
     ExpansionType=ExpansionType, Species=Species), sum))
names(SampledReleased)[8]<-"SampledReleased"
SampledTagged <-with(ORBS_2011, aggregate(Tagged, list(Week=Week,
     Port=Port, Area=Area, BoatType=BoatType, TripType=TripType,
     ExpansionType=ExpansionType, Species=Species), sum))
names(SampledTagged)[8]<-"SampledTagged"</pre>
SampledInterviews <-with(ORBS_2011, aggregate(BoatTrip, list(Week=Week,
     Port=Port, Area=Area, BoatType=BoatType, TripType=TripType,
     ExpansionType=ExpansionType, Species=Species), length))
names(SampledInterviews)[8]<-"SampledInterviews"</pre>
dim(SampledCatch)
SampledFields<-merge(SampledCatch, SampledReleased)</pre>
```

```
dim(SampledFields)
SampledFields<-merge(SampledFields, SampledTagged)</pre>
dim(SampledFields)
SampledFields<-merge(SampledFields, SampledInterviews)</pre>
dim(SampledFields)
Est TotalCatch ORBS Sampled<-merge(Est TotalCatch ORBS, SampledFields,
by=names(Est TotalCatch ORBS)[1:7], all=TRUE)
Est_TotalCatch_ORBS_Sampled$SampledReleased
[is.na(Est_TotalCatch_ORBS_Sampled$SampledReleased)]<-0
Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled<-merge(Est_TotalReleased_ORBS,</pre>
Est_TotalCatch_ORBS_Sampled, by=
names(Est_TotalCatch_ORBS_Sampled)[1:7])
# Arrange columns
ORBS_2011_Estimates_ORBS<-data.frame(Year=rep(2011,
nrow(Est TotalCatch ORBSReleased Sampled)),
Week= Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled $Week,
Port = Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled $Port,
Fishery= rep("0", nrow(Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled)),
Area = Est TotalCatch ORBSReleased Sampled $Area,
BoatType= Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled $BoatType,
TripType= Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled $TripType,
ExpansionType= Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled $ExpansionType,
Species = Est TotalCatch ORBSReleased Sampled $Species,
SampledCatch = Est TotalCatch ORBSReleased Sampled $SampledCatch,
SampledReleased = Est TotalCatch ORBSReleased Sampled $SampledReleased,
SampledTagged = Est TotalCatch ORBSReleased Sampled $SampledTagged,
SampledInterviews= Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled
$SampledInterviews,
EstCatch= Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled $EstCatch,
SE.EstCatch= Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled $SE.EstCatch,
EstReleased= Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled $EstReleased,
SE.EstReleased= Est_TotalCatch_ORBSReleased_Sampled $SE.EstReleased
# Merge with unadjusted ORBS estimates
tbEstCatchRel 2011<-read.csv("tbEstCatchRel 2011.csv", header=TRUE)
names(tbEstCatchRel_2011)[6:7]<-c("BoatType", "TripType")</pre>
ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL<-merge(ORBS_2011_Estimates_ORBS,
tbEstCatchRel_2011, by= names(tbEstCatchRel_2011) [1:9], all=TRUE)
# Remove weeks not in ORBS
ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL<-merge(ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL,
ORBSWeeks[,c(1,2,4)])
# sort
ORBS 2011 Estimates ALL<-
ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL[order(ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL$Week,
ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL$Port, ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL$Area,
ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL$BoatType, ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL$TripType,
ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL$ExpansionType,
ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL$Species),]
# Export to CSV file
write.csv(ORBS 2011 Estimates ALL,
"ORBS_2011_Estimates_ALL_20131210.csv")
save.image("2011_DataAnalysis_20131210.RData")
```

```
# Calculate total catch by species by Port and Boat Type
# across Weeks and Expansion Types
# Calculate separately for Charter and Private boats then combine
# Calculate RATIO ESTIMATOR OF TOTAL CATCH for Charter Boat Type
# then adjust with known Charter boat trip
#-----
# Specify sampling design for analysis in survey package
ORBS_2011_2stage_design.C <-subset(ORBS_2011_2stage_design,
      BoatType=="C")
ORBS_2011_2stage_design.P <-subset(ORBS_2011_2stage_design,
      BoatType=="P")
# Set lonely-PSU options
options(survey.adjust.domain.lonely=TRUE)
options(survey.lonely.psu= "adjust") # use grand mean for variance
# Design-based estimation
Est_MeanCatch.Port.C <- svyby(~Catch, by= ~ Port + Species,</pre>
design = ORBS_2011_2stage_design.C,
FUN = svymean,
verbose=TRUE)
rownames(Est_MeanCatch.Port.C)<-NULL</pre>
dim(Est_MeanCatch.Port.C)
                                          # 299
# Obtain N.hl for each domain for Charter boats and estimate total
BoatMat.C<- unique(data.frame(Port =ORBS 2011$Port[ORBS 2011$BoatType
=="C"], Date=ORBS_2011$Date[ORBS_2011$BoatType=="C"], BoatTrip=
ORBS_2011$BoatTrip[ORBS_2011$BoatType=="C"], IntvNum=ORBS_2011$
IntvNum[ORBS_2011$BoatType=="C"]))
dim(BoatMat.C)
                              # 2229
# Calculate number of Charter Boats, N.C
N.C<-aggregate(rep(1,nrow(BoatMat.C)), list(Port= BoatMat.C$Port), sum)
      # Total boats trips by Port
names(N.C)[names(N.C) == "x"] <- "N.C"
Est MeanCatch.Port.C<-merge(Est MeanCatch.Port.C, N.C)</pre>
dim(Est MeanCatch.Port.C)
# Calculate ratio estimator of total for Charter boat trips
Est TotalCatch.Port.C.adj<-data.frame(Est MeanCatch.Port.C,
TotalCatch=Est_MeanCatch.Port.C$Catch* Est_MeanCatch.Port.C$N.C,
SE.TotalCatch= Est_MeanCatch.Port.C$se*Est_MeanCatch.Port.C$N.C)
# Compare to Horvitz-Thompson estimates of total catch
Est_TotalCatch.Port.C <- svyby(~Catch, by= ~ Port + Species,</pre>
design = ORBS_2011_2stage_design.C,
FUN = svytotal,
verbose=TRUE)
rownames(Est_TotalCatch.Port.C)<-NULL</pre>
CompareEsts<-
merge(Est_TotalCatch.Port.C.adj[,c(1:2,6:7)],Est_TotalCatch.Port.C,
by=c("Port", "Species"))
CompareEsts[,3:6]<-round(CompareEsts[,3:6],0)</pre>
names(CompareEsts)[5:6]<-c("TotalCatch.HT", "SE.TotalCatch.HT")</pre>
# Note differences in estimated total catch caused by bias in the
# estimated total boat trips
```

```
# Calculate total catch for Private Boat Trips
Est_TotalCatch.Port.P <- svyby(~Catch, by= ~ Port + Species,</pre>
design = ORBS_2011_2stage_design.P,
FUN = svytotal,
verbose=TRUE)
rownames(Est TotalCatch.Port.P)<-NULL</pre>
names(Est_TotalCatch.Port.C.adj)<- names(Est_TotalCatch.Port.P)</pre>
Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT<-rbind(data.frame(BoatType="C",</pre>
Est_TotalCatch.Port.C), data.frame(BoatType="P",
Est_TotalCatch.Port.P))
Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT<- Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT</pre>
[order(Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT $Port, Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT $Species),]
rownames(Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT)<-NULL
# Sum across Boat Type
Est_TotalCatch.Port.Catch<-aggregate(Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT$Catch,</pre>
list(Port =Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT$Port, Species=
Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT$Species), sum)
names(Est_TotalCatch.Port.Catch)[
names(Est TotalCatch.Port.Catch) == "x"] <- "Catch"</pre>
Est_TotalCatch.Port.SE<-aggregate(Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT$se, list(Port</pre>
=Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT$Port, Species= Est_TotalCatch.Port.BT$Species),
names(Est_TotalCatch.Port.SE)[ names(Est_TotalCatch.Port.SE)=="x"]<-</pre>
"SE"
# obtain Spc Names
Est_TotalCatch.Port<-merge(Est_TotalCatch.Port.Catch,</pre>
Est_TotalCatch.Port.SE)
Est_TotalCatch.Port<-merge(Est_TotalCatch.Port, tbSpp[,c(1,3)])</pre>
# Sort results
Est_TotalCatch.Port<- Est_TotalCatch.Port[,c(2,1,5,3,4)]</pre>
Est_TotalCatch.Port<-
Est_TotalCatch.Port[order(Est_TotalCatch.Port$Port,
Est TotalCatch.Port$ SpcName),]
# Export output to CSV file
write.csv(Est_TotalCatch.Port, "Est_TotalCatch_Port_20131210.csv")
```

```
# Estimate number of boat trips by Port for Charter boat type
#-----
# Note that Angler and Boat data are duplicated for every Species
# Create boat-level data set that pools across species
ORBS 2011 BoatTrip<-aggregate(ORBS 2011$Catch, by=list(Date
=ORBS_2011$Date, Port =ORBS_2011$Port, Week =ORBS_2011$Week,
ExpansionType = ORBS_2011$ ExpansionType, Stratum = ORBS_2011$Stratum,
PSU.Stratum =ORBS_2011$PSU.Stratum, Area=ORBS_2011$ Area, Anglers=
ORBS_2011$ Anglers, BoatTrip= ORBS_2011$ BoatTrip, BoatType=
ORBS_2011$BoatType, TripType= ORBS_2011$TripType, InclProb=
ORBS_2011$InclProb), sum)
dim(ORBS_2011_BoatTrip)
                                   # 12451
names(ORBS_2011_BoatTrip)[ names(ORBS_2011_BoatTrip)=="x"]<-"Catch"</pre>
# Specify sampling design for analysis in survey package
ORBS_2011_BoatTrip.C<- ORBS_2011_BoatTrip [ORBS_2011_BoatTrip
$BoatType=="C",]
ORBS_2011_BoatTrip.C $BoatTrip<-1
ORBS_2011_2stage_BT.C<-svydesign(id=~ Date+BoatTrip, probs=~InclProb,
     strata= ~ PSU.Stratum + BoatType, nest=TRUE, data=
     ORBS_2011_BoatTrip.C, variables = ~ Port + Week + Area+ Anglers +
     BoatType + TripType + ExpansionType + BoatTrip)
# Set lonely-PSU options
options(survey.adjust.domain.lonely=TRUE)
options(survey.lonely.psu= "adjust") # use grand mean for variance
# Design-based estimation
Est_TotalBoatTrips.Port.C <- svyby(~BoatTrip, by= ~ Port,</pre>
     design = ORBS_2011_2stage_BT.C,
     FUN = svytotal,
verbose=TRUE)
rownames(Est_TotalBoatTrips.Port.C)<-NULL</pre>
# Compare with true counts, N.C
merge(N.C, Est_TotalBoatTrips.Port.C)
save.image("2011_DataAnalysis_20131210.RData")
```

#-----

```
#-----
# Calculate total catch by Port and Species
# only for ExpansionType = "W"
# Specify sampling design for analysis in survey package
ORBS 2011 2stage design.W <-subset(ORBS 2011 2stage design,
     ExpansionType=="W")
# Set lonely-PSU options
options(survey.adjust.domain.lonely=TRUE)
options(survey.lonely.psu= "adjust")
# Design-based estimation
TotalCatch_Spc_W <- svyby(~Catch, by= ~ Port + Species,
     design = ORBS_2011_2stage_design.W,
     FUN = svytotal,
     verbose=TRUE)
rownames(TotalCatch_Spc_W)<-NULL
# Obtain species names
dim(TotalCatch_Spc_W)
TotalCatch_Spc_W <-merge(tbSpp, TotalCatch_Spc_W)</pre>
dim(TotalCatch_Spc_W)
TotalCatch_Spc_W <- TotalCatch_Spc_W [order(TotalCatch_Spc_W
$SpcName),]
# Export output to CSV file
write.csv(TotalCatch_Spc_W, "RatioEst_Catch_Spc_W_20131210.csv")
save.image("2011_DataAnalysis_20131210.RData")
```

```
#-----
# Estimation of Angler totals by Stratum, Boat Type, and Trip Type
#-----
# Note that Angler and Boat data are duplicated for every Species
# Create boat-level data set that pools across species
ORBS_2011_BoatTrip<-aggregate(ORBS_2011$Catch, by=list(Date
=ORBS_2011$Date, Port =ORBS_2011$Port, Week =ORBS_2011$Week,
ExpansionType = ORBS_2011$ ExpansionType, Stratum = ORBS_2011$Stratum,
PSU.Stratum =ORBS_2011$PSU.Stratum, Area=ORBS_2011$ Area, Anglers=
ORBS_2011$ Anglers, BoatTrip= ORBS_2011$ BoatTrip, BoatType=
ORBS_2011$BoatType, TripType= ORBS_2011$TripType, InclProb=
ORBS_2011$InclProb), sum)
dim(ORBS_2011_BoatTrip)
                                 # 12451
names(ORBS_2011_BoatTrip)[ names(ORBS_2011_BoatTrip)=="x"]<-"Catch"</pre>
# Specify sampling design for analysis in survey package
ORBS_2011_2stage_design_BT<-svydesign(id=~ Date+BoatTrip,
     probs=~InclProb, strata= ~ PSU.Stratum + BoatType, nest=TRUE,
     data= ORBS_2011_BoatTrip, variables = ~ Port + Week + Area+
     Anglers + BoatType + TripType + ExpansionType)
# Set lonely-PSU options
options(survey.adjust.domain.lonely=TRUE)
options(survey.lonely.psu= "adjust")
# Design-based estimation
TotalAnglers_Strata.BT.TT <- svyby(~Anglers, by= ~ Port + Week
+ExpansionType + BoatType + TripType,
     design = ORBS_2011_2stage_design_BT,
     FUN = svytotal,
     verbose=TRUE)
rownames(TotalAnglers_Strata.BT.TT)<-NULL</pre>
# Export output to CSV file
write.csv(TotalAnglers Strata.BT.TT,
"TotalAnglers_Strata.BT.TT_20131210.csv")
```

save.image("2011\_DataAnalysis\_20131210.RData")